

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,275	12/02/2003	Hui-Kai Chou	ADTP0112USA	1274	
27765	7590 07/18/2006	07/18/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506			TRAN, THUY V		
	MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			PAPER NUMBER	
			2821		
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/707,275	CHOU, HUI-KAI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thuy V. Tran	2821		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>suppl</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 12-16 and 20-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-16 and 20-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/707,275 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the Applicant's supplemental amendment submitted on May 05, 2006. In virtue of this amendment, claims 1-11 and 17-19 have been canceled; and thus, claims 12-16 and 20-22 are now presented in the instant application.

Upon reconsideration, the indicated allowability of claim 18 is hereby withdrawn in view of a newly discovered reference to Van Duijneveldt (U.S. Patent No. 5,971,567). Rejections based on the newly cited references follow:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Duijneveldt (U.S. Patent No. 5,971,567).

With respect to claim 12, Van Duijneveldt discloses, in Fig. 4A, a backlight source disposed under a display panel, the backlight source comprising a plurality of parallel U-shaped lamps [34, 35, ...]; each of which comprises a bending portion, a high voltage electrode at one end (connected to power source [38, 39]; see Fig. 4A) and a low voltage electrode at another end (see Fig. 4A) of each of the U-shaped lamp; the U-shaped lamps being reversed disposed side by side along a first direction, each of the U-shaped lamps being bent in a reverse direction to the adjacent U-shaped lamps, so that the high and low voltage electrodes are positioned in two lines along the first direction, and the high voltage electrode of each of the U-shaped lamps is

Art Unit: 2821

adjacent to the low voltage electrode of the same U-shaped lamps; wherein each of the U-shaped lamps has an opening defined by its two ends and bending portion, and one end of each of the U-

shaped lamps is positioned inside the opening of one of the adjacent U-shaped lamps.

With respect to claim 13, Van Duijneveldt inherently discloses that the backlight source is installed in a direct-type backlight panel unit (since the lamps are arranged in row; see Fig. 4A).

With respect to claim 16, Van Duijneveldt discloses that the U-shaped lamp is a cold cathode fluorescent lamp (see col. 7, lines 9-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 14-15 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Duijneveldt (U.S. Patent No. 5,971,567) in view of Yajima et al. (Pub. No.: US 2001/0050735 A1).

With respect to claim 14, Van Duijneveldt further discloses, in Figs. 4A-B, that the direct type backlight unit comprises a diffuser [37] disposed between the display panel (not shown in Figs. 4A-B) and the plurality of the U-shaped lamps [34, 35, ...] for scattering (see col. 7, line 13) a light source generated by the plurality of the U-shaped lamps to the display panel, and a reflecting plate [32, 33, ...] disposed under the plurality of the U-shaped lamps for reflecting the

Art Unit: 2821

light source. Van Duijneveldt does not teach a light diffuser plate which is disposed between the diffuser and the plurality of the U-shaped lamps.

Yajima et al. discloses, in Fig. 13, a direct- type backlight unit comprises a light diffuser plate [50] disposed between a display panel and a plurality of the U-shaped lamps [35].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the backlight unit of Van Duijneveldt with a light diffuser plate located between the diffuser and the lamps so as to enhance the transmission of the light source to the display panel and thus to improve the uniformity of illumination on the display device since such a use of the light diffuser plate for the stated purpose has been well known in the art as evidenced by the teaching of Yajima et al. (see paragraph [0166], lines 3-6).

With respect to claim 15, Van Duijneveldt discloses, in Fig. 4A-B, that the direct-type backlight panel unit further comprises a housing [36] disposed under the reflecting plate [36] for surrounding the reflecting plate [36], and a bezel [52] (shown in Fig. 5) for assembling the display panel and the backlight source.

With respect to claim 20, Van Duijneveldt discloses all of the claimed subject matter, as expressly recited in claim 12, except for a control circuit electrically connected to the power supply for driving the backlight source.

Yajima et al. discloses, in Fig. 31C and 31F, a backlight source comprising a control circuit (including a transformer and an inverter; see Fig. 31F) electrically connected to a power supply for driving the backlight source.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the display light source device of Van Duijneveldt by additionally

configuring a control circuit electrically connected to the power supply as taught by Yajima et al. to facilitate the control of the amount of power supply to the high voltage side of the electrodes since Yajima et al. teaches that such control circuit can provide high voltages thereto (see paragraph [0235], lines 9-11).

With respect to claim 21, the combination of Van Duijneveldt and Yajima et al. disclose that the control circuit (see Fig. 31F of Yajima et al.) comprises one inverter electrically connected to the high voltage electrode [35c] and the low voltage electrode (to the ground or 35d) of the corresponding U-shaped lamp.

With respect to claim 22, the combination of Van Duijneveldt and Yajima et al. disclose that the inverter (see Fig. 31F of Yajima et al.) comprises a direct current/alternating current (DC/AC) inverter.

Remarks and conclusion

5. Applicant's amendments to claims 12-13 and 16 to resolve 112-issues have been acknowledged. However, as addressed above, the teachings of Van Duijneveldt disclose all of the claimed limitations contained therein, and therefore, claims 12-13 and 16 are now rejected as being anticipated by the teachings of Van Duijneveldt.

In regard to claims 14-15 and 20-22, both the teaching of Yajima et al. and that of Van Duijneveldt are considerably analogous art, and therefore, one can be used to cure the deficiencies of another. Specifically, claims 14-15 and 20-22 are now rejected as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Duijneveldt and Yajima et al..

Art Unit: 2821

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM -4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

07/13/2006

THUYV.TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER